

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Cr. Bail App. No. S – 110 of 2025

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of hearing | Order with signature of Judge |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|

Application in disposed of case

- 1. For orders on MA No.6633/2025 (U/A)
- 2. For orders on MA No.6634/2025 (Ex./A)
- 3. For orders on MA No.6635/2025 (R/Surety)

19.11.2025

Mr. Rahmat Ali Shaikh, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Abdul Jabbar Siyal, Advocate for applicants / sureties.

.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

- 1.** Urgency is allowed.

- 2.** Exemption is granted subject to all just legal exceptions.

- 3.** This application has been filed by the applicants seeking return of surety documents. As per contentions of learned Counsel, supported by report of Accountant of this Court, it appears that accused Barkat Ali and Ahsan Ali were granted interim pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 11.02.2024, subject to their furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- each, for which applicant Jalal Ahmed stood surety for accused Barkat Ali by depositing an original special savings certificate amounting to Rs.1,00,000/-, whereas, applicant Abdul Kareem stood surety for accused Ahsan Ali by depositing an original special savings certificate amounting to Rs.1,00,000/-. Thereafter, the bail application of accused was disposed of as not pressed by this Court vide order dated 27.10.2025 on account of conclusion of their main case, wherein they have been acquitted by the learned trial Court by way of compromise, as is evident from the order dated 23.10.2025, annexed with this application.

Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, learned Deputy Prosecutor General, present in Court in connection with other matters, waives notice and does not oppose this application.

Accordingly, the application is **allowed**. Office is directed to return the surety documents to the applicants after proper identification and verification, as per rules.

J U D G E