

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Civil Revision No. S – 119 of 2016

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

Application in disposed of case

- 1. For further directions
- 2. For orders on CMA No.1092/2025 (Condonation)
- 3. For orders on CMA No.1093/2025 (Review)

05.11.2025

Applicant, Ghulam Shabbir Buriro, present in person.
Mr. Ghulam Abbas Kubar, Assistant Advocate General Sindh.

.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

Applicant, present, states that he had engaged a Counsel, who is absenting himself from the proceedings, and as such, he requires this Court to look into the record and conclude the matter, whereby the review application bearing CMA No.1093/2025 be considered along with CMA No.1092/2025, an application for condonation, requires to be concluded. The said application is in respect to the final judgment passed on 18.10.2024, whereby the present Civil Revision was dismissed discussing the concurrent judgments also passed against the present applicant and therein apart from a cost of Rs.25,000/- imposing, a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- was also imposed on the present applicant.

Record shows that on 26.05.2025, another order was passed requiring the said sum of Rs.5,00,000/- to be recovered as arrears of land revenue. It also bears from the record that a sum of Rs.25,000/- has been got deposited by the Counsel for the applicant.

The record was looked into and the applicant was heard, who stated that he is a poor man having no means and assets to pay the sum of Rs.5,00,000/-. In this regard, he has also stated that he has no immovable property available for the payment of the said huge amount.

In the said circumstances, it is further ordered considering the norms of justice that in case the applicant is not having any immovable property available to him, he shall not be facing imprisonment, failing

which, however, in case immovable properties are available, the amount is to be recovered accordingly. Learned AAG Sindh, present in Court, also does not oppose the same.

Apart from the fore given, no ground was found required to review the judgment dated 18.10.2024 and the order dated 26.05.2025, and the pending applications stand **disposed of** condoning the delay.

J U D G E

Abdul Basit