

ORDER SHEET
**HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD**
C.P No.D-289 of 2007

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
1. For orders on M.A No.6603/2025 <u>16.10.2025</u>	Mr. Irfan Ahmed Qureshi, advocate for applicant/respondent No.5 ***

Through listed application [M.A No.6603/2025], the applicant/respondent No.5 seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the alleged contemnors for violation of the Order dated 07.04.2021 passed by this Court in the captioned petition.

When confronted with the Order dated 07.04.2021, whereby the applications being M.As No.2382 and 2383 of 2019 seeking implementation of the Order dated 25.05.2011 were dismissed, learned counsel for the applicant/respondent No.5 has failed to point out any direction contained in the Order dated 07.04.2021 and can be said to have been violated. For the sake of convenience Order dated 07.04.2021 is reproduced below:-

“This petition was filed in the year 2007 on the basis of an application (page 33) allegedly filed under Rule 67-A of Sind Land Revenue Rules, 1968, for demarcation of the petitioner's land. Since the inception of the petition, countless hours of this Court have been spent on having the land demarcated through the officials to the extent that even Assistant Registrar of this Court was also involved in this case and numerous orders have been passed and thereafter the petition was disposed of vide order dated 25.05.2011 after having been demarcated the land of petitioner twice. As a matter of fact on the application moved by the petitioner, an appropriate order was passed as reproduced at page 289-91, which was in fact challenged by the petitioner itself and it was said that said orders are not in consonance with the requirement of Rule 67-B of Sindh Land Revenue Rules, 1968.

After hearing at length we have reached to the conclusion that neither the application available at page 33 falls under the preview of Rule 67-A of Sindh Land Revenue Rules 1968 nor any other document has been attached as prescribed by those rules nor any contempt of Court's order is evident from the record made available to us, entire exercise is unfounded and this Court is dragged with ulterior motives with utter misuse of the process of law. The applications being groundless, are dismissed. Office to consign the file in records.”

Perusal of above Order reveals that no any direction was issued to the revenue officials that can be said to have been violated, inviting initiation of contempt proceedings. However, learned counsel for the applicant/respondent No.5 contended that fresh demarcation has been conducted by the official respondents. If this is the case, even then the applicant/respondent No.5 can avail the appropriate remedy as provided in Sindh Land Revenue Act 1967 before the competent forum. No case for indulgence is made out, therefore, the listed application being meritless stands dismissed accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Sajjad Ali Jessar