ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

C. P. NO. D-4973 / 2025

Date

Order with signature of Judge

PRIORITY

1) For hearing of CMA No. 20796/2025.

2) For hearing of main case.

21.10.2025.

Mr. Rana Sakhawat Ali, Advocate for Petitioner.

Ms. Rabia Khalid, Assistant Attorney General.

M/s. Sardar Zafar Hussain & Z. M. Siddiqui,

Advocates for Respondent.

Pursuant to issuance of notice, Mr. Sardar Zafar Husain has filed

Vakalatnama on behalf of concerned Collectorate which is taken on record.

The Petitioner entered G.D. No. KAPW-IB-184496-14-05-2025

under section 79 of the Customs Act, 1969 [Act] for warehousing imported

goods declared as "Polyester Knitted Pile Fabric". Upon examination of the

goods under section 80 of the Act, a contravention of the Act was detected

by the Customs, leading to Order-in-Original, dated 07-07-2025, whereby

the Petitioner was held liable for mis-declaration and the goods were ordered

to be confiscated albeit the Petitioner was given the option under section 181

of the Act to pay fine in lieu of confiscation. Against this order, the

Petitioner has preferred Customs Appeal No. K-955/2025 before the

Customs Appellate Tribunal.

Before us, the grievance of the Petitioner is that while said appeal is

pending before the Customs Appellate Tribunal, the Collector of Customs has

withheld orders on the Petitioner's application under section 84 of the Act for

moving the goods to a bonded warehouse, and as a result the Petitioner is

incurring demurrage charges day-to-day. On the other hand, counsel for the

Customs submits that since orders for confiscating the goods have not been

2

suspended by the Tribunal, the goods cannot be moved into bond until the

Petitioner redeems the goods by paying duty, taxes, fine and penalty determined as

per the Orders-in-Original.

Heard learned counsel. While the order of confiscation of subject goods is in

appeal, counsel for the Customs has not cited any provision of the Act that requires

the importer to pay the fine in lieu of confiscation of goods (under section 181 of the

Act) before moving the goods to a bonded warehouse pursuant to section 84 of the

Act. Rather, section 104 of the Act suggests that all charges payable in respect of

goods can be paid within the time fixed for warehousing by section 98 of the Act.

Needless to state that moving the goods to a bonded warehouse would not amount

to delivery to the Petitioner, rather it is intended to mitigate demurrage charges being

incurred by the Petitioner at the port.

In view of the foregoing, we dispose of this petition by directing the

Respondents 2 to process forthwith the Petitioner's application under section

84 of the Act for moving the subject goods (mentioned first above) into bond

in line with section 86 of the Act.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Arshad/