## 1

## **ORDER SHEET**

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

## C.P No.D-2995 of 2025

[Amjad Alam v. Members of Inspection Team and others]

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S).

Before;

Mr. Justice Yousuf Ali Sayeed; Mr. Justice Abdul Hamid Bhurgri.

- 1. For orders on office objections No.1 to 9.
- 2. For orders on Misc. No.13275/25.
- 3. For orders on Misc. No.13276/25.
- 4. For hearing of main case.

Date of hearing: 03.10.2025

Mr. Ikhlaque Ahmed Domki, Advocate for the petitioner.

.-.-.-.-.-.

Abdul Hamid Bhurgri, J.- The petitioner claims ownership of property bearing C.S. No. 963, admeasuring 9,150 square feet, situated in Ward-A, Sanghar, inherited from his late father. He alleges that in 2001, a boundary wall constructed by his father was demolished by the SHO, P.S Sanghar, on the directions of the then District & Sessions Judge, Sanghar, based on a claim of encroachment. A complaint bearing No. 1220/2001 was submitted by the Petitioner's father to the then Honourable Chief Justice through the Member Inspection Team (MIT), but no outcome followed. The Petitioner now submits that the Board of Revenue, acting under Respondent No.3, is attempting to dispossess him and his siblings under the guise of the earlier administrative action. He further alleges harassment and threats, though concedes that no concrete action has yet been taken.

2. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and examined the record, it is evident that the petition is based on mere apprehension and lacks any material to show a real or immediate threat to the Petitioner's rights. In the absence of a substantiated cause of action, the petition is premature and misconceived. Accordingly, this petition along with all listed and pending applications, is **dismissed** in **limine**.

**JUDGE** 

JUDGE

Ayaz Gul