## Order Sheet

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C. P. No. S-706 of 2025

Date	Order with Signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Present:

Mr. Justice Jawad Akbar Sarwana

Petitioner: Nisar Patel

through Mr. Muhammad Shafique Malik

Advocate

Respondent No.1: Government of Sindh

Respondent No.2: Inspector General of Police Sindh Respondent No.3: Deputy Inspector General of Police Respondent No.4: Senior Superintendent of Police (South) Respondent No.5: Senior Superintendent of Police (East) Senior Superintendent of Police (West) Respondent No.6: Senior Superintendent of Police (Central) Respondent No.7: Respondent No.8: Senior Superintendent of Police (Korangi) Respondent No.9: Senior Superintendent of Police (Malir)

Respondent No.10: SHO PS Sukhan

Dates of Hearing: 26.08.2025 Date of Short Order: 26.08.2025 Date of Reasons: 08.09.2025

<u>Jawad Akbar Sarwana, J.:</u> The petitioner has filed this "Harassment Petition" seeking orders from this Court directing the Province of Sindh and the Respondent Police Authorities to provide him police protection, etc. in connection with some plots which are the subject-matter of ongoing litigation pending before the civil Courts between the petitioner, private respondents, the Land Utilisation Department, Government of Sindh, KMC, etc. A selection of orders passed on various dates in these litigation matters involving, Suit No.966/2022, Suit No.995/2022, Suit No.996/2022, Suit No.997/2022 and Suit No.1113/2022 pending in the Court of Senior Civil Judge-1, Karachi Malir as of May 2025 and in High Court Suit No.245/2017 have also been filed by the petitioner. The current status of the above litigation matters is not known. Further, the title page of the suits is also not available to assist this bench in reconciling the names of the plaintiff, defendants, and/or any other proposed parties impleaded in these suits and cross-referenced in the orders with the present petitioner and respondent police authorities on this lis. In short, the petition is vague and unclear.

- 2. The petitioner has filed copies of letters which he has submitted to the Respondent Police Authorities, which allege that some unknown land grabbers are harassing the petitioner and threatening to entrap the petitioner in dubious litigation and threatening the petitioner with dire consequences. The petitioner claims in the said letters that these persons are apparently persons of influence, and therefore, the petitioner requires police protection against such threats, and also seeks assurances from the police authorities that they will not lodge any false cases against him.
- 3. Counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no alternate remedy available to him other than filing this writ, which is maintainable based on the right of fair trial, as this dispute proceeds before the civil courts.
- 4. One of the prerequisites of invoking Article 199 of the 1973 Constitution is that there should not be any alternative remedy available to the aggrieved person before he invokes writ jurisdiction. In the case at hand, apart from the vague pleas about unknown persons, the petitioner has also not exhausted his legal remedies before filing this petition. Indeed, if the petitioner was intending to make out a criminal case and the Respondent police authorities were refusing to entertain his complaint, he could have invoked various provisions of the Cr.P.C., but he did not do so. Instead, he has rushed to the High Court without exhausting his legal remedies. The petitioner has also not approached the civil courts for relief involving the subject properties, and if he has, no record of such action is available. The petition is misconceived, and the alleged "harassment" petition is not maintainable and liable to be dismissed.
- 5. Given the above, this bench dismissed the petition on 26.08.2025 by way of a short order passed on the said date. These are the reasons for the short order.