

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Crl. Misc. Appln. No. 478 of 2025.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

1. For hearing of Case.
2. For hearing of C.M.A. No.7974 of 2025.

04.09.2025.

Applicants, namely Attaullah and Saeed Ahmed, are present in court. Ms. Seema Zaidi, Addl. P.G. Sindh a/w Respondent No.4/complainant Muhammad Faizan is present in person.

Ms. Samreen Abro, advocate, holds brief for Mr. Imdad Ali Malik, advocate for the applicants and requests an adjournment on the grounds that the latter is busy before another bench of this Court. The request is allowed.

In the meantime, Respondent No.4/complainant is present in person and submits that he wishes to proceed with the case. He states that his son sustained injuries on 26.12.2024, and after calling Police Helpline 1-5, AND approaching P.S. Alfallah, Karachi, no one registered his complaint. He further submits that the recording of the emergency call is available to the Law Enforcement Agencies. He states that he had also shown the Respondent Police Officials video footage of the alleged crime in support of his version of events on 26.12.2024 and 27.12.2024, but again, no notice was taken by them. He explains that due to confusion and perceived threat to his family, he was unable to rush his son for medical care, and the P.S. Alfalah also did not guide him; however, his injured son was later treated at Star Hospital. He claims that the FIR 589/2024, lodged by the proposed accused registered on 27.12.2024, is false and a counterblast to his attempt to get an FIR lodged against the proposed accused for the incidents of 26.12.2024 and, again, on 27.12.2024. The complainant contends that the impugned Order dated 24.05.2025 passed by the learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace does not suffer from any defects and that the Law Enforcement Authorities should take up the matter in accordance with law, but for this Court's ad-interim order suspending the said impugned Order. The complainant has filed a Compendium of Documents in support of his stance, which is taken on record, and a copy has been supplied to the learned counsel for the applicants and APG.

At her request, and by consent the matter is adjourned to **22.09.2025 at 09.30 am**, for arguments of the learned counsel for the applicants.

JUDGE

Manthar Brohi.