

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
MIRPURKHAS**

Crl. Bail Application No.S-197 of 2025

Applicants: Nazar Muhammad S/o Muhammad Hayat,
Through Mr. Yar Muhammad Mangrio, Advocate.

Respondent: The State.
Through Mr. Neel Parkash, D.P.G.

Complainant: Pir Bux son of Kareem Dad,
called absent.

Date of hearing: 13.08.2025

Date of order: 13.08.2025

ORDER

Amjad Ali Sahito, J: Through this Bail Application, the applicant/accused seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.22/2025 for offence under sections 324, 341, 382, 427, 337-A(i), F(i), 147, 148, 149 and 504 PPC registered at PS Naukot, after his bail plea has been declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Mirpurkhas, vide order dated 08.07.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel, the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this; no specific role assigned to the applicant/accused; the allegations are general in nature; the applicant/accused is in jail and no more required for further investigation. Lastly, he prayed for confirmation of the bail. In support of his contentions, he relied upon the case laws viz: 2020 SCMR 971 [Supreme Court of Pakistan] and 2023 SCMR 1243 [Supreme Court of Pakistan].

4. On the other hand, learned D.P.G has vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the applicant/accused and states that specific role has been assigned to the applicant/accused, as such he is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. Heard and perused.

6. Admittedly, a mob of people attacked upon the complainant party and resultantly the present applicant/accused has given hatchet blow to the complainant with the intention to commit his murder which hit him at his head and other parts of the body. Learned D.P.G states that as per the medical certificate, the complainant has received three injuries on different parts of his body which were declared by the Doctor as 337-F(i) and 337-F(vi) P.P.C. The ocular evidence finds support from the medical evidence. The prosecution witnesses also supported the version of the complainant. At the bail stage, only a tentative assessment is to be made. Furthermore, no allegation of ill-will or mala fide has been attributed by the applicant/accused against the complainant party. Sufficient material is available on record to connect the applicant/accused with the commission of the alleged offence.

7. In view of the foregoing, learned counsel for the applicant/accused has failed to make out a case warranting the grant of bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused is **dismissed**. However, trial court is directed to expedite the matter and conclude the same within 45 days and submit such compliance report through Additional Registrar of this Court. It is made clear that no adjournment shall be granted to either party on flimsy grounds and trial court proceed the case day to day.

8. The observations made in this decision are of a tentative nature and will not influence the merits of the case.

JUDGE

Faisal