

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Cr. Bail Application No. S- 279 of 2025

Applicants:	Zulfiqar son of Gulshan Bhutto and Riaz son of Ilyas Bhutto, Though Mr. Rashid Ali Tunio, Advocate
The State:	Through, Mr. Nazir Ahmed Bhangwar Deputy Prosecutor General.
Date of hearing:	21-07-2025
Date of order:	21-07-2025

ORDER

Khalid Hussain Shahani, J.- Through the instant criminal bail application, the applicants seek pre-arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No.47/2025, Police Station Ali Gohar Abad, Larkana, for offences under Sections 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 506/2, 504, 147 & 148 PPC. The applicants had previously filed a bail application before the learned III- Additional Sessions Judge, Larkana, which was dismissed vide order dated 10.05.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available within the bail application, and the same can be ascertained from the copy thereof, attached to the application. Therefore, it is not necessary to reproduce them in detail herein.

3. Learned counsel contends, the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case due to mala fide intentions. He further submits that all the sections are bail-able except 506/2 PPC and it is yet to be determined at the time of trial, whether applicants have issued threats for dire consequences. Besides, the complainant present in person submits that he has no

objection for the grant of bail on the ground that they have settled their dispute outside the court and they intend to file compromise application before the learned trial court. Based on these circumstances, the learned counsel prays for the confirmation of pre-arrest bail to the applicants, asserting that the case against them lacks legal and factual substance.

4. The Learned Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh, frequently concedes the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the applicant and acknowledges that the parties have resolved their dispute amicability.

5. Since, all the sections mentioned in the FIR are bail-able, except 506/2 PPC, therefore, it is yet to be determined at the time of trial, whether the applicants have issued threats for dire consequences to the complainant and ingredients of such section attract to the facts of the case. Besides, the complainant present in the court records no objection and submits that they intend to file compromise application before the learned trial court. Therefore, the applicants have succeeded to make out case for pre-arrest bail. Accordingly, interim bail already granted to the applicants is confirmed on same terms and conditions with the directions to joint investigation/trial.

J U D G E