

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
BENCH AT SUKKUR

Const. Petition No.S-134 of 2025

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

Hearing of Case

- 1.For orders on office objections
- 2.For orders on MA 453/25
- 3.For hearing of main case

14-07-2025

Mr. Shafique Ahmed Khan Leghari, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Agha Athar Hussain, Assistant A.G- Sindh.

Mr. Sudhamchand @ Sudhamo Kewal Ramani, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents No.7 and 8, which is taken on record. Learned Assistant Advocate General files statements on behalf of respondents No.3 to 6; the same are taken on record, and copies whereof are supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks directions to the official respondents No.2 to 4 to provide protection to him and his family members, and further prays that respondents No.5 and 6 be restrained from causing any sort of harassment to the petitioner and his family at the behest of private respondents No.7 to 10.

Conversely, learned counsel for private respondents No.7 and 8, while placing on record a Photostat copy of certified judgment dated 11.09.2024, passed by the learned Senior Civil Judge, Ghotki, in F.C. Suit No.2 of 2015 titled *Muhammad Hanif alias Arif and others v. Muhammad Siddique and others*, submits that the private respondents had instituted the said suit against the petitioner and others for declaration, mesne profits/compensation, and permanent prohibitory

injunction in respect of the Kabuli land of the father of respondent No.9, herein. The said suit was initially dismissed; however, in Civil Appeal preferred by the private respondents, the judgment was set aside and the matter was remanded to the trial Court, where it is presently pending adjudication. He further submits that the dispute between the parties is purely of a civil nature, and the private respondents have neither caused any harassment to the petitioner nor acted unlawfully. Rather, the petitioner's side is harassing the private respondents by instituting false cases.

Learned AAG submits that the official respondents are duty-bound to act strictly in accordance with law and shall not cause any harassment to either party.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. Admittedly, the parties are involved in a longstanding dispute over landed property, which is civil in nature, and the private respondents have already initiated appropriate proceedings which are pending before the competent Civil Court. Accordingly, both private parties are directed to pursue their civil remedies before the competent forum. The official respondents are directed to act strictly in accordance with law and ensure that no harassment is caused to either party.

Accordingly, instant petition stands **disposed of** in the above terms along with listed application.

JUDGE

Ahmad