ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

C.P No.D-2991 of 2025

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S).

Fresh Case

- 1. For order on Misc. No.13195/2025 (Urgent/App).
- 2. For order on office objection No.1 to 6.
- 3. For order on Misc. No.13196/2025 (Exemption/App).
- 4. For order on Misc. No.13209/2025 (Stay/App).
- 5. For hearing of main case.

Dated 03.07.2025

Mr. Deedum Gul, Advocate for the petitioner.

.-.-.-.-.-.

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 3. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.

2,4&5. The grievance of the petitioner is that judicial case No.SRO-08/2025 titled Shamsuddin Khan V/s. Muhammad Ali was fixed for hearing before the Members of Full Board, Board of Revenue, Sindh Karachi on 26.06.2025 wherein the petitioner appeared through his counsel who filed Vakalatnama on his behalf and sought time for preparation of brief which request was declined and the matter was heard and reserved for orders for 01.07.2025.

The petitioner has filed this petition seeking indulgence of this Court to restrain the Board of Revenue from passing any order on application No.SRO-08/2025.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the material available on record.

The perusal of the record revealed that a case No.SRO-08/2025 was pending adjudication before the Board of Revenue wherein the petitioner is one of the contesting parties. The petitioner to his own admission has stated that he was applicant in the said petition and the matter was pending adjudication before the Revenue forums since long and the appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed by the Board of Revenue vide order dated 12.03.2025.

The petitioner being the applicant was required to argue the matter without seeking any date as the Revenue matters are to be decided summarily without recording of the evidence on the basis of the record available. The petitioner has failed to demonstrate that how this case was maintainable on account of the refusal by the Revenue Court to adjourn his matter. The petitioner has also not placed on record the copy of adjournment application moved by him before the Revenue Court. The petitioner has further claimed that he filed an application dated 26.06.2025 for grant of certified copies of certain reports and diaries. The perusal of said application available at page-167 evidenced that there was no endorsement of the office for receiving such application. When confronted, learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispel any of the above factual position.

This petition from the face of it appears to be misconceived as this Court cannot restrain any Court of law from exercising its jurisdiction and decide the matters in accordance with law. The petitioner has a remedy available under the law to file appropriate proceedings against the order, if any, passed in the matters seized with the Board of Revenue.

The petition being meritless and not maintainable is dismissed in *limine* along with pending applications with **cost of Rs.25,000/-** to be deposited in clinic account of High Court within 20 days, failing whereof the CNIC of petitioner shall be blocked.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ayaz Gul