

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI**  
**C.P. No.S-1328 of 2024**

<i>Date</i>	<i>Order with Signature(s) of Judge(s)</i>
-------------	--

**HEARING/PRIORITY.**

1. For orders on CMA No. 10078 of 2024.
2. For hearing of CMA No. 10080 of 2024.
3. For hearing of main case.

**13.05.2025**

Mr. Dhani Bux Otho, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1-3.

**-X-X-X-X-X-**

The instant Petition has been filed against the impugned Order dated 24.05.2024 passed in Rent Case No. 18 of 2024, and the Order dated 13.11.2024 passed in FRA No. 169 of 2024. The Petitioner has challenged the concurrent findings of the Courts below.

The Petition was filed on 14.11.2024, whereupon notices were issued to the Respondents and interim orders were granted. Thereafter, the matter was taken up on 19.03.2025, when a representative was holding brief for the Petitioner's counsel, and the matter was adjourned to 24.04.2025. From a perusal of the Order dated 24.04.2025, it is evident that the Petitioner did not appear. The matter was again taken up today in the morning; however, no one appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. The matter was kept aside in the hope that the Petitioner or his counsel would appear, but no appearance was made.

Learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 states that the interim order is still in operation in this case and requests that the matter be heard with his assistance. He has submitted that the Petitioner is not a tenant of the Respondents and that the underlying Rent Case was not filed against the Petitioner. Therefore, the Petitioner has no locus standi to maintain the instant Petition. He further argued that the concurrent findings of the Courts below are well-reasoned and speaking, and no case for interference is made out.

I have heard the learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and perused the record.

It is evident that ejectment was ordered against Respondent No. 4 in Rent Case No. 18 of 2024 vide Order dated 24.05.2024. That Order was impugned by the present Petitioner in FRA No. 169 of 2024, which was dismissed by the appellate Court vide Order dated 13.11.2024. It is apparent that the ejectment Order passed by the learned Rent Controller was not challenged by Respondent No. 4 (the tenant in the Rent Case), and the same was only assailed by the present Petitioner.

The learned Appellate Court rightly held that no cogent grounds had been raised by the Petitioner for the First Rent Appeal to be allowed, and the appeal was thus correctly dismissed. I find no case for interference. Accordingly, the instant Petition is *dismissed*, with no order as to costs.

***JUDGE***

Jamil