

ul

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA.**

Cr. Misc. A.No.377 of 2017 and  
Cr.B.A.No.5-503 of 2017.

<b>Date of hearing</b>	<b>Order with signature of Judge</b>
------------------------	--------------------------------------

FOR HEARING OF CASE

29.01.2018.

Mr. Abdul Rehman Bhutto, Advocate for applicant in Cr.Misc.A.No.S-377/2017 and for complainant in Cr.B.A.No.503/2017.

Muhammad Sallah and Amanullah respondents are present in person in Cr.Misc.A.No.S-377/2017.

Applicant Liaquat Ali is present in person in Cr.BA No.503/17.

Mr. Khadim Hussain Khoharo Addl.Prosecutor General.  
-----

By this Order the criminal bail No.S-503/2017 filed by applicant Liaquat Ali for seeking pre-arrest bail and the Cr. Misc. Appln.No.S-377/2017 filed by Muhammad Ali seeking cancellation of bail to the respondents namely Muhammad Salah and Amanullah in crime No.120/2017 of Police Station A-Section Thull, registered under section 506/2, 504,147,148,149 and 337-A(ii), F(i),PPC are disposed of.

The allegations against the applicant Liaquat Ali are that on account of some enmity between them and the complainant party he had caused a knife blow on the upper part of the left arm of the complainant; whereas, the allegations against the respondents namely Muhammad Salah is that he had caused a hatchet blow on the head of the brother of the complainant. Besides causing above injuries they had allegedly issued threats to the complainant party. Before the trial court, the bail of respondents Muhammad Salah and Amanullah was granted whereas, the pre-arrest bail application of the applicant Liaquat Ali was dismissed.

I have heard the accused, learned counsel for the complainant and Addl. Prosecutor General who has informed that all the injuries attributed to the applicant are bail-able and only one injury caused to the complainant and attributed to the applicant Liaquat Ali falls under section 337-F(ii), however, the same is punishable for three years and does not fall within prohibitory clause of section 497(1) Cr.P.C.

M

91

In view of such position learned Addl. Prosecutor General does not oppose the confirmation of bail of the applicant Liaquat Ali. Learned counsel for the complainant who has filed Cr.Misc.A.No.377/2017 for cancellation of bail of respondents Muhammad Salah and Amanullah has submitted that he would be satisfied, if, direction are issued to trial court to conclude the trial within certain period as charge has been framed.

I have considered the submissions of the parties and have perused the material available on record. As per record the injuries attributed to applicant are bail-able except one injury that does not fall within prohibitory clause of section 497 (1) Cr.PC. The challan has been submitted and it has been informed that charge has been framed. In the facts and circumstances, the ad-interim pre arrest bail granted to applicant Liaquat Ali vide order 17.10.2017 is hereby confirmed on same terms and condition; whereas the Cr.Misc.A.No.S-377/2017 is hereby dismissed. Learned trial court is, however, directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of four months.

Ashfaq i.P.S

  
JUDGE 29-1-2018