R.A. No. 18 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 88/16
- 2. For Katcha Peshi
- 3. For Hearing of MA 89/16

Mr. Ashok Kumar D. Lohano, Advocate for applicants

Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, State Counsel

Mr. Muhammad Sulleman Unar, Advocate has filed power on behalf of respondent No.1, which is taken on record. Similarly, Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, State Counsel has filed comments of respondent Nos. 6 and 7, which are also taken on record; copy supplied to otherside. Learned State Counsel seeks time to file comments of respondent No.2. Time granted. Adjourned to 29.2.2016.

Interim order passed earlier to continue till next date of hearing.

JUDGE

CP No. S- 44 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 2036/16
- 2. For Orders on Office Objection
- 3. For Orders on MA 412/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi

Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, State Counsel

Petitioner is seeking protection for himself and his P.Ws being complainant of FIR No. 07 of 2014 under Section 302, 114, 148 and 149 PPC. According to him, the respondents who are accused in the said crime are issuing threats to him. Learned A.P.G. and State Counsel present in court in some other matters, waive notice of this petition and state that due protection of law would be provided to the petitioner and his family members. Regarding absconder accused, they ensure that police will make all possible efforts to arrest them. On this statement learned counsel has shown satisfaction.

In the above terms petition stands disposed of along with pending applications.

Copy of this order be faxed to SSP concerned for compliance.

JUDGE

CP No. S- 46 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Katcha Peshi
- 3. For Hearing of MA 409/15

Mr. Aslam P. Sipio, Advocate for petitioner

H.C. Hassan Khaskheli, Respondent No.4 is present in person

Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, State Counsel a/w DSP Matiari, SHO PS Shahpur Darpur

Petitioner alleges harassment at the hands of respondent No.4 who is police constable, against whom he has filed certain civil cases. Respondent No.4 is present in court and assures that in future he would not cause any harassment to the petitioner.

SHO PS Shahpur Darpur is also present and undertakes that in future they would keep watch over respondent No.4 so that he should not behave properly.

Learned State Counsel also undertakes that the police officials will act strictly in accordance with law.

Such statements have satisfied the counsel for petitioner who seeks disposal of this petition in the above petition. Order accordingly.

JUDGE

CP No. S-84 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Orders on MA 712/16
- 3. For Katcha Peshi

Mr. Shabbir Hussain Memon, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Meer Buriro, Advocate files power on behalf of respondent No.5 which is taken on record.

Ch. Bashir Ahmed Asstt. A.G. a/w SIP / SHO PS A-Section, ASI Ali Bux A-Section Tando Allahyar

Petitioner alleges harassment against respondent No.4, who is present in court and states that he for the first time has seen the petitioner in court. His statement appears to be dubious. SHO present in court is directed to furnish complete record of respondent No.3, an ASI to determine his past antecedents.

To come up on 29.2.2016

JUDGE

CP No. S-150 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 1755/16
- 2. For Orders on Office Objection
- 3. For Orders on MA 1756/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Ch. Bashir Ahmed Asstt. A.G.

Petitioner is alleging harassment against respondent No.3 at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 9. Respondent Nos. 4 to 9 are admittedly private persons, against them no writ lies and against them the petitioner may move a proper forum. On behalf of respondent No.3, learned as well as learned A.A.G. and APG undertake that no harassment would be caused to the petitioner and the official respondents would provide due protection under the law to the petitioner. The statement of learned APG and A.A.G. has served the purpose of this petition which is disposed of in the above terms along with pending applications.

Let copy of this order be faxed to SSP concerned for compliance.

JUDGE

CP No. S-155 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 2094/16
- 2. For Orders on Office Objection
- 3. For Orders on MA 1639/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi
- 5. For Hearing of MA 1640/16

Mr. Faisal Nadeem Abro, Advocate for petitioner

Ch. Bashir Ahmed Asstt. A.G.

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Petitioner is alleging harassment against official respondents at the behest of private respondents. Admittedly the writ against private persons does not lie and against them the petitioner may move a proper forum. On behalf of official respondents, learned A.A.G. as well as learned A.P.G. undertake that no harassment would be caused to the petitioner and the official respondents would provide due protection under the law to the petitioner. The statement of learned APG and A.A.G. has served the purpose of this petition which is disposed of in the above terms along with pending applications.

Let copy of this order be faxed to SSP concerned for compliance.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

CP No. S- of 201

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA /1
- 2. For Orders on MA /1
- 3. For Orders on Office Objection
- 4. For Orders on MA /1
- 5. For Katcha Peshi
- 6. For Hearing
- 7. For Hearing of MA /1
- 8. For Orders on MA /1

Mr., Advocate for petitioner

Mr., Advocate for Respondent Nos.

Mr., Advocate for Respondent Nos.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro Addl.A.G. a/w SIP Noor Muhammad for DIGP, Hyderabad, SIP Shafi Bangash, Manzoor Ali for SSP,

Hyderabad.

Mr. Ashfaq Nabi Qazi Asstt. A.G

Ch. Bashir Ahmed Asstt. A.G.

Mr. Tahir Tahseen Talpur, State Counsel

Mr. Sher Muhammad Leghari, State Counsel

Syed Meeral Shah, D.P.G.

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Shahzado Saleem Nahiyoon, A.P.G.

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali, Standing Counsel-II.

Mr. M. Humayoon Khan, Standing Counsel-III

- 1. Urgency disposed of.
- 2. Dismissed being infructuous.
- 3. Deferred for the time being.
- 4. Granted subject to all just legal objections.
- 5. Petitioner is alleging harassment against respondent No.3 at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 9. Respondent Nos. 4 to 9 are admittedly private persons and against them no writ lies. On behalf of respondent No.3, learned as well as learned A.A.G. undertake that no harassment would be caused to the petitioner and he would provide due protection under the law. The statement of learned APG and A.A.G. has served the purpose of this petition which is disposed of in the above terms.

Let copy of this order be faxed to SSP concerned for compliance.

CP No. S- 199 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 2008/16
- 2. For Orders on Office Objection
- 3. For Orders on MA 2009/16
- 4. For Katcha Peshi
- 5. For Orders on MA 2010/16

Mr. Hemandas, Advocate for petitioner

- 1. Urgency disposed of.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just legal objections.

4&5. Let at the first instance notice be issued to learned A.A.G. for assistance. To come up on 29.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-811 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Hearing

Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for applicants

Mr. Ameer Ali Laskani, Advocate for complainant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Counsel for complainant seeks adjournment. Adjourned to 29.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. Misc. Appl. No. S- 535 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Hearing

Mr. Tufail Ali Qureshi, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Detainee Mst. Saeeda Noor has appeared and she categorically stated that she wants to live with her parents and does not want to go with the applicant.

In view of above statement, this application is dismissed.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-565 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Hearing

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Imam Bux Baloch, Advocate for applicant is reportedly on his way whereas Mr. Hameeduallah Dahri, advocate is reported to be busy before another bench.

Adjourned to 29.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-935 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Hearing

Mr. Ashfaq Ali Khaskheli , Advocate for applicant Syed Tariq Ahmed Shah, Advocate for Complainant Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

At the request of learned counsel adjourned to 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-1002 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Hearing

Applicants are present and their counsel is called absent. Complainant present in person Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Applicants are present and their counsel is called absent. Adjourned to 29.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. Misc. Appl. No. S- 368 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Katcha Peshi

Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Let notice in terms of order dated 20.1.2016 be repeated.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S- 1243 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Hearing

Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Notice issued to complainant has not returned either served or unserved. Repeat notice to him through SHO PS Jhangara for 29.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S- 1255 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

- 1. For Orders on Office Objection
- 2. For Hearing

Mr. Muhammad Saleem Meo, Advocate for Applicants

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Fakhruddin Dahraj, Advocate files power on behalf of complainant and requests for time. At his request adjourned to 22.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-1272 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Hearing

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Shaukat Ali Kaka, Advocate holds brief for Mr. Nouman Sehto Advocate for applicant, requests for adjournment as the latter is busy before another bench.

Adjourned to 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-1273 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.02.2016

For Hearing

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Shaukat Ali Kaka, Advocate holds brief for Mr. Nouman Sehto Advocate for applicant, requests for adjournment as the latter is busy before another bench.

Adjourned to 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S- 07 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 527/16
- 2. For Hearing of MA 528/16

Mr. Muhammad Saleem Chohan, Advocate for Surety

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Applicant seeks return of surety papers by way of this application. The ground cited is that the accused for whom he stood surety has surrendered before the trial court within time.

Learned APG after going through the file has recorded no objection.

Application is allowed. Office is directed to return the surety papers to the applicant on proper verification and identification.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S-1115 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

For Hearing of MA 438/16

Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa, Advocate for Surety

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

. Applicant seeks return of surety papers by way of this application. The ground cited is that the accused for whom he stood surety have surrendered before the trial court within stipulated time.

Learned APG after going through the file has recorded no objection.

Application is allowed. Office is directed to return the surety papers to the applicant on proper verification and identification.

JUDGE

Cr. Appeal No. S- 11 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

For Hearing of MA 585/16

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for Appellant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

Appellant has been convicted for offence under Section 409 PPC r/w Section 5(ii) of Prevention of Corruption Act II of 1947 and sentenced to undergo R.I for two years and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/-. By means of this application the applicant is seeking suspension of sentence on the ground that the sentence meted out to him is short one. His counsel has relied upon the case reported as Abdul Hameed v. Muhammad Abdullah and others (1999 SCMR 2589) & an unreported order passed in Cr. Appeal No. 93 of 2011.

Learned APG has recorded no objection.

In the above circumstances the application is allowed, the sentence awarded to the appellant is suspended subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court.

JUDGE

Cr. Appeal No. S- 13 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

For Hearing of MA 787/16

Mr. Ayaz Ali Gopang, Advocate for Appellant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

. Notice issued to complainant has not returned either served or unserved. Even the SHO has not submitted his report. Issue show-cause notice to him for non-compliance of the order of this court. Meanwhile notice be repeated to complainant through said SHO for 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S- 100 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 1057/16
- 2. For Orders on Office Objection
- 3. For Orders on MA 1058/16
- 4. For Hearing

Mr. Shabeer Hussain Memon, Advocate for applicant

- 1. Urgency disposed of.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just legal objections.
- 4. Notice to DPG and complainant for 22.2.2016. Learned counsel states that this application is connected with Cr. B.A. No. S- 1269 of 2015. Office to tag both the bail application and fix in court on the next date before the same bench.

JUDGE

1st Appeal No. S- 51 of 2013

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 198/16
- 2. For Hearing of MA 1324/13

Mr. Ishrat Ali Lohar, Advocate for appellant

- 1. Urgency disposed of.
- 2. Counsel for appellant has shown anxiety to proceed with this matter as according to him Execution Applications are pending before the trial court. Counsel for respondent is called absent. Let intimation notice be issued to the respondents and his counsel who shall be present on the next date of hearing to proceed with the matter.

Adjourned to 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD CP No. S- 1251 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

- 1. For Orders on MA 2030/16
- 2. For Orders on MA 1633/16
- 3. For Orders on Office Objection
- 4. For Katcha Peshi

Mr. Liaquat Ali Larik, Advocate for petitioners

Ch. Bashir Ahmed Asstt. A.G.

Mr. Inam Malik, Advocate has filed power on behalf of Respondent No.8 which is taken on record.

Petitioners are present in person. Petitioner No.1 states that her parents are causing harassment to her and her husband as she has contracted marriage against their will and wish. Her parents are present and her mother was allowed to sit with her for some time, but she could not persuade the petitioners to reside with them.

In the circumstances, learned A.A.G. is directed to provide due protection of law to the petitioners and further ensure that the official respondents shall act strictly in accordance with law.

The petition in above terms is disposed of.

JUDGE

Cr. Misc. Appl. No. S- 526 & 527 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

Mr. Ejaz A. Awan, Advocate for applicant

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G.

On the last date of hearing at the instance of parties this matter was adjourned for today when respondent No.2 was required to produce the minors without fail. However, today is Friday and this matter has been twicely called it is now 10:40 a.m. but sill respondent No.2 who himself is a practicing advocate has not appeared.

In the circumstances, let rule nisi be issued to be executed by respondent Nos. 4 and 5 for production of minors on the next date of hearing. Meanwhile notice of this application be issued to respondent No.1, the father of minors.

Adjourned to 19.2.2016.

JUDGE

Cr. B.A. No. S- 1248 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.2.2016

- 1. For orders on MA 860/16
- 2. For Orders on MA 861/16
- 3. For orders on MA 5862/16

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Abbasi, Advocate for Surety Syed Meeral Shah Bukhkari, D.P.G.

Applicant seeks return of surety papers by way of this application. The ground cited is that the accused for whom he stood surety has surrendered before the trial court within time.

Learned DPG after going through the file has recorded no objection.

Application is allowed. Office is directed to return the surety papers to the applicant on proper verification and identification.

JUDGE