

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD**

C.P. No.S-665 of 2016

| <b>DATE</b> | <b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b> |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|
|-------------|--------------------------------------|

- |                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| 1. For orders on office objection |  |
| 2. For katcha peshi.              |  |

14.11.2016.

Mr. Deedar Hussain Baloch, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

Mr. Aijaz Bhutto, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.1, who is also present in Court and has undertaken that he will neither extend any threats nor cause any harassment to the petitioner. The parawise comments have already been filed on behalf of the official respondents by the learned A.A.G and according to which, the present respondent has also preferred a C.P No.S-1219/2016 and F.C Suit No.50/2016, which is sub judice before the concerned learned Civil Judge. At this juncture, the learned Counsel for the petitioner points out that C.P. No.S-1219/2016 has also been disposed of by this Court. The parties can seek redressal of their respective grievances in the pending F.C Suit No.50/2016, however, the petitioner should not be harassed by any of the respondents and police officials are in particular directed to ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioner and if he seeks them with a request to provide protection, the same should be provided to him in accordance with law. It is also expected that police officials shall conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law and will not patronize any of the parties. With these observations and directions, instant constitutional petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE